#### MCDR INSPECTION REPORT 2020-21

### **General:**

S .N.	Particulars	Details
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	File No	CHG/SRG/BX/07CHG16012
1	Name of the Mine	Kesra Bauxite Mine
2	Date of Inspection:	15.03.2022
3	Name of Inspecting Officer	Shri Rudra Narayan Mishra, SMG and Shri Prasant Kumar Sahu, AME
4	Name of official accompanying inspection	Shri A. P. Shah, DGM (G) / Regional Officer and Shri Vivek Kumar Yadav, Mines Manager
5	Last date of Inspection & Officer	22/11/2019 & Shri S. Kartikeya, Junior Mining Geologist
6	Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and forest land	Forest: NIL Non-Forest: 13.13 Ha. Total Lease Area: 13.13 Ha.
7	Mine code	07CHG16012
8	IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988/2017	IBM/8239/2011
9	Name of the Lessee, Address, Phone, email and fax number	M/s CMDC Limited Sonakhan Bhawan, 2nd Floor, Ring Road No. 1, Village: Purena, Post – Ravigram, Raipur, Dist. Raipur (Chhattisgarh) Pin Code: 492001 Phone: +91 (0) 771 – 4283557, 4043477 Fax: +91 (0) 771 – 4001074 Email: cmdcraipur@gmail.com, cmdcambikapur@gmail.com
10	Village	Kesra
11	Taluka/Mandal	Mainpat
12	District	Surguja
13	Pin code	497111
14	State	Chhattisgarh
15	Post Office	Kamleshwarpur
16	Nearest Police Station	Kamleshwarpur
17	Nearest Railway Station	Ambikapur
18	Date of Grant of Mining Lease	24/07/2006 to 23/07/2036 (30 Years)
19	Date of Execution	24.07.2006
20	Weekly day of rest	Sunday
21	Date of opening of Mine	09.11.2018
22	Date of First Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry	Not Applicable
23	Date of Second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry	Not Applicable
24	Date of submission of renewal application if Mining	Not Applicable

	Operations are continuing under deemed extension	
25	Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment	Shri Jay Prakash Maurya (IAS), M/s CMDC Limited, Sonakhan Bhawan, 2nd Floor, Ring Road No. 1, Village: Purena, Post – Ravigram, Dist. Raipur (Chhattisgarh) Pin Code: 492001, Phone: +91 (0) 771 – 4283557, 4043477, Fax: +91 (0) 771 – 4001074, Email: cmdcraipur@gmail.com, cmdcambikapur@gmail.com Date of Appointment: Not Available. Notice of Appointment has not been sent.
26	Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment	Shri A. P. Shah, DGM (Geology) M/s Chhattisgarh Mineral Development Corporation Limited, Housing Board Colony, Namnakala, Dist. Ambikapur (Chhattisgarh) – 497 001, Phone: +91 (0) 7774 – 220898/9425581270 Email: cmdcambikapur@gmail.com Date of Appointment: 25/09/2019 Notice of Appointment has not been sent.
27	Name of the Mine Manager with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mines	Shri Vivek Kumar Yadav, M/s CMDC Limited, Behind Carmel School, Housing Board Colony, Namnakala, Dist-Ambikapur (Chhattisgarh) – 497001 Phone: +91 (0) 7774 – 220898 Email: cmdcambikapur@gmail.com Date of Appointment: 11/12/2018
28	Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total experience with address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in the mine	Shri Vivek Kumar Yadav, Qualification: B. E. (Mining) Experience: +5 years M/s CMDC Limited, Behind Carmel School, Housing Board Colony, Namnakala, Dist. Ambikapur (Chhattisgarh) – 497 001 Phone: +91 (0) 7774 – 220898 Email: cmdcambikapur@gmail.com Date of Appointment: 11/12/2018
29	Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointment in mines satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as per rule 43 & 44.	No, Not appointed
30	Date of Approval of Mine Plan/Modified Mine Plan with five- year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any.	1. Mining Plan approved vide letter no. SRG/BX/MPLN- 926/NGP Nagpur dated 22/02/2006. Proposal Period: 2006-07 to 2010-11 2. Modified Mining Plan approved vide letter no. SRG/BX/MPLN-928/NGP Nagpur dated 22/10/2008.

		Proposal Period: 2006-07 to 2010-11
31	Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with five- year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any.	1. Review of Mining Plan approved vide letter no. SRG/BX/MPLN/1184/2018/RPR Raipur dated 08/01/2019. Proposal Period: 2018-19 to 2020-21  2. Review of Mining Plan approved vide letter no. SRG/BX/MPLN/1277/2021/RPR Raipur dated 01/06/2021. Proposal Period: 2021-22 to 2022-23  Lapse Period:
		2011-12 to 2015-16 (5 years) 2016-17 to 2017-18 (2 years)
32	Mineral(s) granted in lease and approved for Mining	Bauxite
33	Method of Mining (Opencast, Underground)	Opencast
34	Category (Fully Mechanized, Others or Manual)	Category-A
35	Captive/Non-Captive	Non-Captive
36	Present EC Status	20,000.00 Metric Tonnes Per Annum
37	Status of Financial Assurance	Rs. 15,26,400/- valid up to 31.03.2023 (Violation of Rule 27 (2) of MCDR,
		2017 is pointed out for non-submission of the differential financial assurance as per MCDR Amendment Rule 2021)

## Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposals of approved Mining Plan/Review of Mining Plan:

1.0 Exploration:

S.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
N.		(2020-21)	(2020-21)	
1a	Backlog of previous year Proposals	No Proposal	Nil	
1b	Exploration over lease area for	G1-13.13 ha	G1-13.13 ha	The entire lease
	Geological axis 1 or 2.			area of 13.13 ha
				explored under G1
				category through
				11 nos. of
				boreholes and 01
				nos. of trial pit.
1c	Exploration Agency & Expenditure in	No	Not	-do-
	Lakh Rupees during the year	Proposal	Applicable	
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring	Nil	Not	-do-
	Geological axis in 1 or 2.	1411	Applicable	
1e	Balance reserves as on 01.04.2021			In Annual Return,
	(in Tonne)	Under 111-35401.		reserves / resources
		Under 221-5360.4	7 T	are incorrectly
				reported. Violation of Rule 45(5) (C) of
				MCDR, 2017 is
				pointed out
1f	General remarks of Inspecting officer	The entire lease a	area of 13.13 ha	explored under G1
	on geology, exploration etc.	category through 11 nos. of boreholes and 1 nos. of trial		
	88 <i>j</i> , enploration over	pit. The detail exploration was carried by the Director of		
		Geology & Mining, State Govt. of Chhattisgarh.		
		_ ·	•	CDR, 2017 is pointed
		out for wrongly fur		

2.0 Development:

S.N	Item	Proposals (2020-21)	Actual Work (2020-21)	Remarks
2a	Location of Development w.r.t. lease area	In between local co-ordinates (N 85 to N 135 and E 180 to E 283) & (N 50 to N 165 and W 78 to E 20)	In between local co-ordinates (N 85 to N 135 and E 180 to E 283) & (N 50 to N 165 and W 78 to E 20)	As per the proposal
2b	Separate benches in topsoil overburden and mineral (Rule 15)	Separate benches in OB and Mineral proposed	Separate benches maintained in OB and Mineral	OB- No bench Mineral/Ore: One (01) bench
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:0.30	1:0.75	Actual as per annual return
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m <sup>3</sup>	No proposal	Not applicable	
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m <sup>3</sup>	5796.95 m <sup>3</sup>	7000 m <sup>3</sup>	
2f	General remarks of Inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t type of deposit etc.	Quarry developmen	nt is within the prop	osed area.

### 3.0 Exploitation:

S. N.	Item	Proposals (2020-21)	Actual Work (2020-21)	Remarks
3a	Number of pits proposed for production	2 pit proposed	1 pit developed	Due to less production
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	ROM Ore- 19999.47 Tonne	ROM Ore- 5259.07 Tonne	Within the proposal limit and less production due to lack of market demand
3c	Recovery of Salable/usable mineral from ROM production	60% from the ROM	As per proposal	
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	No proposal	Not Applicable	
3e	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	No proposal	Not Applicable	
3f	Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation	Not mentioned in the approved document	Not Applicable	
3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral generation	Not mentioned in the approved document	Not Applicable	
3h	Manual/Mechanized method adopted for segregation from ROM	Manual sorting and sizing proposed	Manual sorting and sizing adopted	Done as proposed
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject	No beneficiation study proposed	No such study carried out	
3j	Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches	Yes DTH Drill- 65 mm Jack Hammer-32 mm	All the machineries used for mining operations have not been reported in AR and also not observed during field inspection	Violation of Rule 45(5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	1. DTH Drill 01 no. 65 mm 2. Jack Hammer 01 no. with 32 mm 3. Compressor 01 no. 4. Hydraulic Excavator 01 no. with 0.9 cum 5. Portable Small Dozer 01 no. 6. Dumper 01 no. with 10.00 Metric Tonne 7. Diesel Tanker 01 no. with 2000 liters	All the machineries used for mining operations have not been reported in AR and also not observed during field inspection	Violation of Rule 45(5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out

		8. Water Tanker 01 no. with 10000 liters 9. Service Van 01 no. 10. Water Pump 01 no. with 5.0 HP		
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Mineral/Ore: 3.0 m	Mineral/Ore: 3.0 m	Seems to be correct
3m	Total area covered under excavation /pits	4.941 ha up to end of plan period	0.965 ha up to end of 2020-21	Nil reported in AR, Violation of Rule 45(5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year	1:0.30	1:0.75	Increase in OB ratio due to pocketry nature of deposit
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Pit-2.862 ha, Roads-0.300 ha, Dumps-0.530 ha, Safety Zone-1.249 ha, up to end of plan period	Pit-0.528 ha, Roads-0.286 ha, Dumps-0.151 ha, up to end of 2020- 21	Nil reported in AR, Violation of Rule45 (5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out
		2016-17- 0.00	2016-17- 0.00	
		2017-18- 0.00	2017-18- 0.00	
3p	Production of ROM mineral during last five-years period, as Applicable (tonne)	2018-19-7713.86	2018-19- 3798.74	Actual production within proposed limit
	as represent (come)	2019-20-19982.68	2019-20-19682.20	
		2020-21-19999.47	2020-21-5259.07	
3q	General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc	The actual production is less in comparison to proposal for lack of market demand and the mining operations were disturbed due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The put to use area Nil reported in AR, Violation of Rule 45(5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out.		

## 4.0 Solid Waste Management-Dumping:

S.N.	Item	Proposals (2020- 21)	Actual Work (2020-21)	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32,33)	Yes	Yes	Separate benches of OB and Mineral
4b	Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps	In between local co-ordinates E 12 to E 119 and N 270 to N 335	Nil	Topsoil not generated at this stage

4c	Number of Dumps within lease area and outside lease area	01	Nil	Waste material used for concurrent backfilling
4d	Location of Dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16)	In between local co-ordinates E 12 to E 119 and N 270 to N 335	Nil	
4e	Number of Active & Alive dumps	01	Nil	Waste material used for concurrent backfilling
4f	Number of dead dumps	No proposal	Not applicable	
4g	Number of dumps established	No proposal	Not applicable	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there	No proposal	Not applicable	
4i	Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump	No proposal	Not applicable	
4j	Number of settling ponds	No proposal	Not applicable	
4k	Specific commends of inspecting officer on waste dump management		ted during the year	

## 5.0 Solid Waste Management-Backfilling:

S.N.	Item	Proposals (2020-21)	Actual Work (2020-21)	Remarks
5a	Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling	No proposal	Not applicable	Area is not fully matured for backfilling
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	No proposal	Not applicable	No area is mined out
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32)	No proposal	Not applicable	No generation of topsoil during the year
5d	Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated	No proposal	Not applicable	
5e	General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc.	No proposal for back filling and reclamation in the plan period as the area is not fully matured for backfilling.		

## 6.0 Progressive Mine Closer Plan:

S.	Item	Proposals	Actual Work	Remarks
N.		(2020-21)	(2020-21)	
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP	PMCP report to	PMCP report	
	submitted on time and correctly-Rule	be submitted	submitted	
	26 (2) of MCDR, 2017.			
6b	Management of worked/mined out	No proposal	Nil	No area is mined
	benches			out
	Area available for rehabilitation (Ha)	No proposal	Nil	
	Afforestation done (ha)	No proposal	Nil	

	No. of saplings planted during the year	No proposal	Nil	
	Cumulative no. of plants	No proposal	Nil	
	Any other specific method of rehabilitation	No proposal	Nil	
	Cost incurred on watch & care during the year	No proposal	Nil	
6c	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling Voids available for backfilling (L*B*D) Void filled by waste/tailings Afforestation on the backfilling Rehabilitation by making water reservoir Any other specific means	No proposal	Nil	No area is matured for backfilling
6d	Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i. Afforestation ii. Area rehabilitation (ha) iii. Method of rehabilitation	No proposal	Nil	
6e	Compliance of Environment monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)	Air Monitoring-3 stations Noise Monitoring-3 stations Water Quality- 3 stations	Done as per proposed	The environment monitored by the Creative Enviro Services.
6f	General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive closer operations	Annual report on	PMCP submitted	on time.

## 7.0 Mineral Conservation:

S.N.	Item	<b>Proposals</b> (2020-21)	Actual Work (2020-21)	Remarks
7a	ROM mineral dispatch or grade wise sorting within lease area	Grade wise sorting proposed	Grade wise sorting done	Done as proposed
7b	Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical	Manual method proposed	Manual method adopted	-do-
7c	Different grade of mineral sort out at mine	Yes	Done as proposed	
7d	Any beneficiation process at mines	Not envisaged in the approved document	Not applicable	
7e	General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues	Manual method of grade-wise sorting of bauxite mineral adopted.		

### 8.0 Environment:

S.N.	Item	Proposals (2020-21)	Actual Work (2020-21)	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of	No proposal	Not applicable	No topsoil generated
	topsoil (Rule 32)			during the year
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	No proposal	Not applicable	No topsoil generated during the year
8c	Separate dumps for overburden waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33)	Yes	Yes	Separate dumping of waste/OB and Mineral /Ore
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	No proposal	Not applicable	Waste material used for concurrent backfilling
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	No proposal	Not applicable	No area is fully matured for reclamation
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41)	666 nos./0.416 ha along the 7.5 m safety zone	Not done and wrongly furnished in AR as 2000 within lease area	Violation of Rule 45(5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out
8g	Survival rate	Not specified	Nil	
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Haul road, nearby village road & periphery of mine area	Done as per proposal.	
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mine area	Baseline information on existence of plantation wrongly furnished in AR. Violation of Rule 45(5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out.		

# 9.0 Compliance of Rule 45:

S N	Item	Comments		Remarks
9a	Status of submission of monthly and Annual returns	MR submitted up to <b>February 2022</b> AR submitted up to <b>2020-21 dated 09.07.2021</b>		
S N	Item	Details Given in A.R.	Observation of I/Officer	
9 b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager	Mining Engineer: Shri Vivek Kumar Yadav Geologist: Not appointed Manager: Vivek Kumar Yadav	During inspection the Geologist was not present	Violation of Rule 55 of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return on land	Nil reported	Pit-0.528 ha,	Violation of Rule

9 d	use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc.  Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation	Within lease area-2000	Roads-0.286 ha, Dumps-0.151 ha, up to end of 2020-21 Wrongly furnished in AR as 2000 within lease area	45(5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out Violation of Rule 45(5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & Quantity)	Nil	No generation of Mineral Rejects	
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or grade ore	Opening-0.00 T Production- 5259.07 T Closing-0.00 T	No stock is reported	Seems to be correct
9 g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production costs	Sale Value: Rs. 4654277.00 Ex. Mine Price: Rs. 885.00 Per Metric Tonne Cost of Production: Rs. 885.00 Per Metric Tonne	Ex. mine price and cost of production reported as same	Violation of Rule 45(5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out
9i	Scrutiny of Annual return on Fixed assets	Rs. 0/-	Report as per Annual Return	
9	Scrutiny of Annual return on	Back Hoe- 0.9	A 11 41- a	
k	mining Machineries	cum Air Compressor- 400 Cum/Min Water Tanker- 20000 liter	All the machineries used for mining operations have not been reported	Violation of Rule 45(5) (C) of MCDR, 2017 is pointed out

10- (a) Details of violations observed during current inspection:-Rule 27(2), 45(5)(C) and 55 of MCDR, 2017.

(b) Compliance position of earlier violation pointed out: - The violations pointed earlier have been complied.

Date: 08.04.2022

Signature:

Name: Rudra Narayan Mihsra

Designation: Senior Mining Geologist

Signature:

Name: Prasant Kumar Sahu

Designation: Assistant Mining Engineer